

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	F NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. COM		
10/519,879	12/30/2004	Anthony Devasia Joseph	13473.0006USWO	7399	
23552 MERCHANT &	7590 02/05/2007 & GOULD PC	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 2903		WINSTON, RANDALL O			
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1655		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
31 D.	31 DAYS 02/05/2007 PAPER			EB	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/519,879	JOSEPH, ANTHO	JOSEPH, ANTHONY DEVASIA				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
			Randall Winston	1655				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this community or Reply	nication app	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Insions of time may be available under the provision of time may be available under the provision of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this component of the reply is specified above, the maximum sure to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.13 munication. statutory period w y will, by statute,	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 16(a). In no event, however, may a fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status	•	•			•			
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 30 De	ecember 2004.					
2a)☐			action is non-final.	•				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the	application.						
,,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
-	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
, —	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> are subject to restrict	ion and/or e	lection requirement.					
	ion Papers		4 -0.00000					
	•							
	The specification is objected to by the			= .	•			
10)	0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any object		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •				
111	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
11)[_]	The bath of declaration is objected t	o by the Exa	aminer. Note the attache	a Office Action or form P	10-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents	have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies				l Stage			
	application from the Internation	•	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(c)							
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Intensions	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I	PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)			nformal Patent Application				
rape	r No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:	 ·				

Application/Control Number: 10/519,879 Page 2

Art Unit: 1655

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. Claims 1-7, drawn to an ayurvedic nutricinal preparation, classified in class 424, subclass 754, for example
- II. Claims 8-11, drawn to a process of preparing a medicinal preparation, classified in class 424, subclass 756, for example.
- 2. The inventions are distinct from each other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as product and a process of making. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process of producing an ayurvedic preparation such as in Patent Application No. 1184/MUM/2001 (i.e. see e.g. applicant's specification on page 1 lines 8-15)
- 3. The several inventions above are independent and distinct, each from the other. They have acquired a separate status in the art as separate subject for inventive effect and require independent searches. The search for each of the above inventions is not co-extensive particularly with regard to the literature search. Further, a reference which would anticipate the invention of one group would not necessarily anticipate or even

Art Unit: 1655

make obvious another group. Finally the consideration for patentability is different in each case. Thus, it would be an undue burden to examine all the above inventions in one application.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

In Group I, the claimed species are from the Markush of claim 2:

a) Semecarpous Anacardium Linn b) Anacardium Occidenatale Linn

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species from the list of a-b thereof above for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which a written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP 809.02(a)

Application/Control Number: 10/519,879

Art Unit: 1655

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be completed must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirements be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Please note, the examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process

Art Unit: 1655

Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.**Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Randall Winston whose telephone number is 571-272-0972. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terry McKelvey can be reached on 571-272-0775. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,879

Art Unit: 1655

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MICHELE FLOOD
PRIMARY EXAMINER